

July 2006

Generalised leptonic colour

R. Foot and R. R. Volkas¹

*School of Physics,
Research Centre for High Energy Physics
University of Melbourne,
Victoria 3010 Australia*

It is conceivable that there is an $SU(N)_\ell$ ‘colour’ gauge group for leptons, analogous to the gauged $SU(3)_q$ colour group of the quarks. The standard model emerges as the low energy effective theory when the leptonic colour is spontaneously broken. The simplest such generalised leptonic colour models are constructed. We show that the see-saw mechanism for small neutrino masses, along with the theoretical constraint of electric charge quantisation, suggests that the models with $N = 3, 5, 7$ are the theoretically most promising cases. A striking feature of generalised leptonic colour is the physics associated with the extra leptonic degrees of freedom – the liptons. These particles can potentially be discovered at future colliders, such as the LHC, making the idea testable in the near future.

¹ E-mail address: rfoot@unimelb.edu.au, r.volkas@physics.unimelb.edu.au

Under the $SU(3)_q \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge group, the quantum numbers for one generation of standard model fermions are

$$\begin{aligned} f_L &\sim (1, 2, -1), & e_R &\sim (1, 1, -2), & \nu_R &\sim (1, 1, 0), \\ Q_L &\sim (3, 2, 1/3), & d_R &\sim (3, 1, -2/3), & u_R &\sim (3, 1, 4/3), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where we have included a ν_R to enable the neutrinos to gain mass via the see-saw mechanism.

The $U(1)$ quantum numbers are not a complete theoretical mystery, as they can be derived from gauge invariance at the quantum level (anomaly cancellation) and classical level (gauge invariance of the Yukawa Lagrangian) [1]. However, there is no overall theoretical explanation for the required pattern, or for the particular gauge group chosen.

Within the pattern, Eq.(1), there is a certain similarity between quarks and leptons. Of course, it is not known whether this similarity should be viewed as some type of coincidence or has some deeper significance. An obvious possibility is that the similarity is the result of a spontaneously broken exact symmetry, as in, for example, the $SU(4) \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ Pati-Salam model [2], or in the $SU(3)_\ell \otimes SU(3)_q \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ quark-lepton symmetric model [3]. The former model features a continuous gauge symmetry relating the quarks and the leptons, while the latter model has a discrete Z_2 symmetry interchanging the quarks with the leptons; in both cases the symmetry is spontaneously broken.² Alternatively, the similarity of the quarks and the leptons might simply imply a closer correspondence between the quarks and leptons, but not an exact Lagrangian symmetry.

In this vein, we shall generalise the quark-lepton symmetric model [3] by exploring the possibility that there is a leptonic colour analogue of the $SU(3)_q$, but we do not demand that there be an exact Lagrangian symmetry. Specifically, we explore the hypothesis that the leptons transform under an N -plet of leptonic $SU(N)_\ell$ colour, so that the gauge group of the model is³

$$SU(N)_\ell \otimes SU(3)_q \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_X. \quad (2)$$

Gauge anomaly cancellation implies the generation pattern

$$\begin{aligned} F_L &\sim \left(N, 1, 2, \frac{-3y}{N}\right), & E_R &\sim \left(N, 1, 1, \frac{-3y}{N} - 1\right), & N_R &\sim \left(N, 1, 1, \frac{-3y}{N} + 1\right), \\ Q_L &\sim (1, 3, 2, y), & d_R &\sim (1, 3, 1, y - 1), & u_R &\sim (1, 3, 1, y + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where we have also enforced classical constraints from the standard Yukawa Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{yuk} = \lambda_1 \bar{F}_L \phi E_R + \lambda_2 \bar{F}_L \phi^c N_R + \lambda_3 \bar{Q}_L \phi d_R + \lambda_4 \bar{Q}_L \phi^c u_R + H.c. \quad (4)$$

In this Lagrangian, $\phi \sim (1, 1, 2, +1)$ is the standard model Higgs doublet responsible for electroweak symmetry breaking and standard fermion masses. [Note that we have normalised the $U(1)_X$ charge of ϕ to 1 without losing generality.]

The parameter y in Eq.(3) is undetermined from the theoretical constraints of anomaly cancellation and classical invariance of the Yukawa Lagrangian. It exists because there are two independent Abelian charges allowed by the classical and quantum gauge invariance constraints, so if one chooses to gauge only one such charge it can be an arbitrary linear combination of the two. A similar phenomenon arises in the standard model with Dirac neutrinos, where both standard hypercharge and $B - L$ are classically and quantum mechanically allowed [1].

The exotic leptonic degrees of freedom can be given mass from the scalar, χ , via the Yukawa Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{yuk} = \lambda \bar{F}_L \chi (F_L)^c + \lambda' \bar{E}_R \chi (N_R)^c + H.c. \quad (5)$$

²Both of these models can be grand unified: Pati-Salam can be extended to $SO(10)$ [4], while the quark-lepton symmetric model can be extended to quartification $SU(3)^4$ [5].

³ A model with this gauge group has been earlier discussed in Ref.[6]. However, that was a technicolour model where the extra leptonic degrees of freedom were massless and confined by an unbroken $SU(N-1)$ subgroup of $SU(N)_\ell$ at a high scale – dynamically breaking electroweak gauge symmetry. In view of the well-known difficulties of technicolour with the electroweak precision data we here assume standard gauge symmetry breaking via Higgs scalars.

In order for all of the exotic leptonic degrees of freedom to gain mass, χ needs to be in the antisymmetric $N(N-1)/2$ dimensional representation of $SU(N)$. Gauge invariance of the above Lagrangian then implies that χ has the gauge quantum numbers

$$\chi \sim \left(\frac{N(N-1)}{2}, 1, 1, \frac{-6y}{N} \right). \quad (6)$$

The Higgs potential terms just involving the χ are

$$V = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2\chi_{ij}\chi^{ij} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_1(\chi_{ij}\chi^{ij})^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_2(\chi_{ij}\chi^{jk}\chi_{kl}\chi^{li}), \quad (7)$$

with $\chi_{ij} = -\chi_{ji} = (\chi^{ij})^*$. If $\lambda_2 > 0$ and $\mu^2 > 0$, then the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of χ is [7]

$$\langle \chi \rangle = c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

for N odd with $c^2 = \mu^2/[\lambda_1(N-1) + \lambda_2]$. For even N , the structure of the VEV is similar, except that there is no diagonal zero in the $(1,1)$ entry [7]. This VEV breaks $SU(N)_\ell \otimes U(1)_X$ to $Sp(N-1) \otimes U(1)_Y$ if N is odd and $SU(N)_\ell \otimes U(1)_X$ to $Sp(N)$ if N is even, where, by definition, $Y\langle \chi \rangle = 0$. Evidently,

$$Y = X + \left(\frac{3y}{N} \right) T, \quad (9)$$

where T is the diagonal $SU(N)$ generator

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} -(N-1) & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

and it is easy to see that Y is standard hypercharge for the case $y = 1/3$.

The correct low-energy phenomenology emerges if N is odd (and $y = 1/3$), which means that the N leptonic states split into the leptons, which do not gain any mass from $\langle \chi \rangle$, and an $Sp(N-1)$ $(N-1)$ -plet of exotic heavy states which all gain mass from $\langle \chi \rangle$. In the special case of $N = 3$, the three leptonic colours split up into the leptons and an $Sp(2) \simeq SU(2)'$ doublet of exotic fermionic states (called “liptons” in Ref.[8]).

As mentioned above, with N odd the model reduces to the standard model in the low energy limit, provided $y = 1/3$. However, there is no theoretical explanation for the lightness of the neutrino masses or for why $y = 1/3$. We aim to address both of these issues with the physics associated with implementing the see-saw mechanism [9].

We consider first the standard see-saw scenario with large Majorana masses for the ν_R induced through the VEV of a new Higgs multiplet Δ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda \bar{N}_R \Delta (N_R)^c + H.c. \quad (11)$$

Clearly,

$$\Delta \sim \left(\frac{N(N+1)}{2}, 1, 1, \frac{-6y}{N} + 2 \right), \quad (12)$$

and we require

$$\langle \Delta \rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Note that if $y = 1/3$ this VEV will break

$$SU(N)_\ell \otimes U(1)_X \rightarrow SU(N-1)_\ell \otimes U(1)_Y, \quad (14)$$

where Y is standard weak hypercharge. Since for even $N-1$, $Sp(N-1)$ is a subgroup of $SU(N-1)$, the overall breaking induced by $\langle \chi \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta \rangle$ is to $Sp(N-1) \otimes U(1)_Y$.

As well as being responsible for the large Majorana ν_R mass, the Δ term can explain why $y = 1/3$. To do this, the interactions in the Higgs potential need to explicitly break one of the two anomaly-free Abelian symmetries, thereby removing all of the theoretical arbitrariness in the $U(1)_X$ charges. (And of course the one left unbroken must be the correct one for generating the standard model at low energies.)

In particular, $SU(N)$ gauge invariance allows for terms which have one Δ and $N-1$ χ 's (assuming N is odd). For $N = 3$, the term is

$$V = \lambda \Delta_{ij} \epsilon^{ikl} \epsilon^{jmn} \chi_{kl} \chi_{mn} + H.c., \quad (15)$$

where we have made the $SU(3)$ indices explicit and ϵ is the completely antisymmetric $SU(3)$ invariant tensor. This term generalizes for $SU(N)$, as per

$$V = \lambda \Delta \epsilon \chi^{N-1} + H.c. \quad (16)$$

where, for simplicity, we have not made the $SU(N)$ indices explicit. This term explicitly breaks the second anomaly-free Abelian symmetry and fixes $y = 1/3$. Put another way, only for $y = 1/3$ is the term in Eq.(16) gauge invariant. However, this term is only renormalisable if it is of dimension four or less, which implies that $N = 3$ since N is odd. Thus, this type of see-saw model can only be implemented for $N = 3$.

An upper limit on the scale of the new physics, $\langle \chi \rangle, \langle \Delta \rangle$, arises if we are to avoid fine tuning in the Higgs potential (gauge hierarchy problem). In particular, Higgs mixing terms, $\lambda \phi^\dagger \phi Tr \chi^\dagger \chi$ and $\lambda' \phi^\dagger \phi Tr \Delta^\dagger \Delta$ induce a shift, $\delta \mu^2$, of $\lambda Tr \langle \chi \rangle^\dagger \langle \chi \rangle$ and $\lambda' Tr \langle \Delta \rangle^\dagger \langle \Delta \rangle$, in the μ^2 coefficient of the quadratic $\phi^\dagger \phi$ term in the Higgs potential. This suggests an upper limit of order 10 TeV for $\langle \chi \rangle, \langle \Delta \rangle$, if we are to keep μ^2 at the electroweak scale naturally. Setting $\lambda, \lambda' \rightarrow 0$ is not a viable option since these mixing terms are also induced radiatively via gauge boson loops.

One problem with the standard see-saw mechanism is that the required light neutrino masses ($\lesssim eV$) suggest that the ν_R scale should be much greater than 10 TeV. This is theoretically problematic in view of the aforementioned gauge hierarchy problem. To be more precise about this, we should first be clear about the exact definition of the usual see-saw model. As well as the particle content and Lagrangian, the see-saw model is defined by a specification of a certain parameter regime, namely that the neutrino Dirac masses are of the same order as the charged fermion masses, and that the right-handed Majorana masses are very much larger than that. The same Lagrangian has, of course, other parameter regimes. One of those other regimes has the right-handed Majorana masses at the TeV scale or below, with correspondingly much smaller neutrino Dirac masses. That theory, which is *not* the see-saw model, does not have the same hierarchy problem as does the see-saw model, because the highest scale is at worst not far above

the electroweak, and the very small neutrino Dirac masses are a technically natural choice because the symmetry of the theory is increased as they are taken to zero.

To get around the hierarchy problem posed by the usual see-saw model, we consider an alternative see-saw model [10] that requires adding a family of gauge singlet fermions, $S_R \sim (1, 1, 1, 0)$, to the spectrum. In this case there is no ν_R Majorana mass term, but instead there is a Dirac mass coupling ν_R and $(S_R)^c$. The neutral lepton mass matrix then has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_L & \overline{(\nu_R)^c} & \overline{(S_R)^c} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D & 0 \\ m_D & 0 & m'_D \\ 0 & m'_D & m'_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\nu_L)^c \\ \nu_R \\ S_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

where m'_m is a small Majorana mass term for the S_R state. The neutrino mass in this model is then $m_\nu = \frac{m_D^2 m'_m}{m_D^2 + m'^2_D}$. One elegant feature of this type of extended see-saw model is that the neutrino masses can be naturally light, that is without causing any gauge hierarchy problem. This is because m'_m can be naturally small, as it arises from a bare mass term that when taken to zero increases the symmetry of the theory.⁴ Taking m'_m small is *not* conceptually similar to taking the neutrino Dirac masses to be small in the usual see-saw case, because m'_m has no connection with the electroweak scale or any other spontaneous symmetry breaking scale. In other words, there is no *a priori* expectation that it should have any particular value, and we are therefore free to make the parameter choice that m'_m is much less than a typical charged fermion mass.

To implement this extended see-saw scenario, we remove Δ and in its stead introduce the scalar Ω to induce the Dirac mass coupling ν_R and $(S_R)^c$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda \bar{N}_R (S_R)^c \Omega + H.c. \quad (18)$$

This means that Ω has the gauge quantum numbers

$$\Omega \sim \left(N, 1, 1, \frac{-3y}{N} + 1 \right), \quad (19)$$

and we require the VEV

$$\langle \Omega \rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

If $y = 1/3$, this VEV performs the symmetry breaking

$$SU(N)_\ell \otimes U(1)_X \rightarrow SU(N-1)_\ell \otimes U(1)_Y, \quad (21)$$

where Y is standard weak hypercharge Y . Since for even $N-1$, $Sp(N-1)$ is a subgroup of $SU(N-1)$, the overall breaking induced by $\langle \chi \rangle$ and $\langle \Omega \rangle$ is to $Sp(N-1) \otimes U(1)_Y$.

As in the previous case (the minimal see-saw model without S_R), we use terms in the Higgs potential to explicitly break the second anomaly-free Abelian symmetry and so to fix $y = 1/3$. In this case, the Higgs potential term is

$$V = \lambda \Omega \epsilon \chi^{(N-1)/2} + H.c. \quad (22)$$

where N is odd and, for simplicity, we have not made the $SU(N)$ indices explicit.⁵ Again, this term is gauge invariant if and only if $y = 1/3$. Renormalisability requires that the scalar operator

⁴In addition to the anomaly-free X charge, the Lagrangian also has the accidental anomalous (but otherwise unbroken) global symmetries of baryon number and, when $m'_m = 0$, (generalised) lepton number. Taking $m'_m \neq 0$ explicitly breaks the generalised lepton number.

⁵For example, in the case of $N = 3$, $V = \lambda \Omega_i \epsilon^{ijk} \chi_{jk}$.

in V must be of dimension four or less, which implies that $(N-1)/2 \leq 3$, and thus there are three renormalisable models corresponding to $N = 3, 5, 7$. (For the case $N = 3$, the field Ω need not be independent, as its quantum numbers are identical to χ^{*6}). It is theoretically most natural if $\langle \chi \rangle, \langle \Omega \rangle \lesssim 10$ TeV in order to avoid the gauge hierarchy problem. This means that the new physics associated with the $SU(N) \otimes U(1)_X / Sp(N-1) \otimes U(1)_Y$ coset space gauge bosons and liptons can be accessible to future colliders such as the LHC. Flavour-changing neutral-current processes such as $\mu \rightarrow e\bar{e}e$, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ are also induced and can be close to the experimental limit for a TeV symmetry breaking scale [8].

Perhaps the most characteristic new physics predicted by these types of models is the phenomenology associated with the $N-1$ exotic leptonic coloured degrees of freedom – liptonic physics. The liptons have electric charges $\pm 1/2$, but are confined into two-particle bound states by the $Sp(N-1)$ gauge interactions. These bound states have electric charges $0, \pm 1$. Their phenomenology has been studied in detail in Ref.[8] for the special case of $N = 3$. Here we recall and generalise some of the interesting features of this phenomenology.

We use the following notation for the liptons. The N leptonic colours of $SU(N)_\ell$ shall be expressed as:

$$F_L = \begin{pmatrix} f_L \\ F_{1L} \\ F_{2L} \\ \vdots \\ F_{(N-1)L} \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_R = \begin{pmatrix} e_R \\ E_{1R} \\ E_{2R} \\ \vdots \\ E_{(N-1)R} \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_R = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R \\ V_{1R} \\ V_{2R} \\ \vdots \\ V_{(N-1)R} \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

where f_L, e_R, ν_R are the familiar leptons and right-handed neutrino, and F_{iL}, E_{iR}, V_{iR} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$) are the exotic fermions we call liptons. The $SU(2)_L$ degrees of freedom are denoted by

$$f_L = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_{iL} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{iL} \\ E_{iL} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

Let us start by focusing on the bound states made up of the lightest lipton, L_1 . The $Sp(N-1)$ gauge interactions have an $SU(2)$ global flavour symmetry group, which we denote by $SU(2)_F$. The lipton, L_1 , and its anti-particle, L_1^c transform as a doublet under this $SU(2)_F$ flavour group:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 \\ L_1^c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

Of course, L_1 and L_1^c have the same mass, so the $SU(2)_F$ symmetry is not broken by any mass difference. The flavour group $SU(2)_F$ is broken only by the electromagnetic and other electroweak interactions. The $Sp(N-1)$ gauge interactions will confine the liptons into two-particle bound states. If $\Lambda_{Sp(N-1)} \ll M_{L_1}$ [where $\Lambda_{Sp(N-1)}$ is the $Sp(N-1)$ confinement scale], then these liptonic bound states will be non-relativistic.

The flavour structure of the hadrons follows from the $SU(2)_F$ decomposition

$$2 \otimes 2 = 1_A \oplus 3_S, \quad (26)$$

where the subscripts S, A denote the symmetry property under interchange of the liptons. The wave function of the liptons in the bound state can be expressed as

$$\psi = \psi_{colour} \otimes \psi_{space} \otimes \psi_{flavor} \otimes \psi_{spin} \quad (27)$$

Here “colour” refers to the $Sp(N-1)$ quantum numbers, and is anti-symmetric. The ground state has zero orbital angular momentum ($\Rightarrow \psi_{space}$ is symmetric), and thus the Pauli principle implies

⁶The original $N = 3$ model [11] employing the mechanism of Ref.[10] suffers from a proton decay problem, due to the necessary presence of a discrete quark-lepton symmetry partner to the Higgs field χ , as discussed recently in Ref.[12]. The models proposed here do not have this problem, because there is no discrete symmetry and hence no need to introduce a quark partner for χ , even for the $N = 3$ case.

that the flavour triplet will have spin-1 and the flavour singlet will have spin-0. We denote these states by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^+ &= L_1 L_1, \quad \rho^0 = \frac{L_1 L_1^c + L_1^c L_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \rho^- = L_1^c L_1^c \\ \xi^0 &= \frac{L_1 L_1^c - L_1^c L_1}{\sqrt{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

These particles can be produced from, for example, virtual W , Z and γ decays in future colliders such as the LHC. Furthermore, the production cross section will have an $Sp(N-1)$ ‘colour’ factor, enabling the number of colours to be experimentally determined. Note that all of these bound states can decay into the standard particles, with distinctive decay modes such as $\xi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $\rho^- \rightarrow e\bar{\nu}$, $\rho^0 \rightarrow e\bar{e}$ [8]. Reference [8] contains an extensive discussion of phenomenological bounds on the $N=3$ model, concluding that the scale of the new physics can be as low as a few TeV. This result is not expected to be much altered by more recent data, and we also expect the $N=5, 7$ models to also be phenomenologically acceptable for leptonic colour breaking scales of a few TeV and above.

In conclusion, we have explored the possibility that there is an $SU(N)_\ell$ colour gauge group for leptons generalising the familiar $SU(3)_q$ colour gauge group of the quarks. We have shown that the see-saw mechanism for small neutrino masses, along with some other theoretical constraints (electric charge quantisation) suggest that the models with $N=3, 5, 7$ are theoretically the most interesting. A striking feature of these types of models is the physics associated with the extra leptonic degrees of freedom: the liptons. These particles can potentially be discovered at future colliders such as the LHC, making these models testable in the near future.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the Australian Research Council.

References

- [1] For reviews see R. Foot, H. Lew, G. C. Joshi and R. R. Volkas, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5, 2721 (1990); R. Foot, H. Lew and R. R. Volkas, J. Phys. G19, 361 (1993).
- [2] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D10, 275 (1974).
- [3] R. Foot and H. Lew, Phys. Rev. D41, 3502 (1990).
- [4] H. Georgi in *Proceedings of the American Institute of Physics*, ed. C. E. Carlson, Meetings at William and Mary College 1974 [reprinted in *Unity of Forces in the Universe*, ed. A. Zee (World Scientific, Singapore, 1982)].
- [5] The $SU(3)^4$ gauge structure with partial gauge coupling constant unification was proposed by G. C. Joshi and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D45, 1711 (1992). For full gauge coupling constant unification see K. S. Babu, E. Ma and S. Willenbrock, Phys. Rev. D69, 051301 (2004); A. Demaria, C. I. Low and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D72, 075007 (2005).
- [6] R. Foot, H. Lew and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D42, 1851 (1990).
- [7] L.-F. Li, Phys. Rev. D9, 1723 (1974).
- [8] R. Foot, H. Lew and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D44, 1531 (1991).
- [9] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B **67**, 421 (1977); S. L. Glashow, in *Quarks and Leptons, Proceedings of the Advanced Study Institute* (1979); M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, in *Supergravity*, ed. P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979), p.315; T. Yanagida, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Unified Theories and Baryon Number in the Universe*, ed. O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK Report No. 79-18, Tsukuba, Japan, 1979); see also R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912 (1980).
- [10] D. Wyler and L. Wolfenstein, Nucl. Phys. B218, 205 (1983); R. N. Mohapatra and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B177, 47 (1986).
- [11] R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Lett. B358, 318 (1995).
- [12] A. Demaria, C. I. Low and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D74, 033005 (2006).